

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/727,247	HEINRICH ET AL.
	Examiner Ngoclan T. Mai	Art Unit 1742

All Participants: _____

(1) Ngoclan T. Mai. (3) Roy King (SPE)

(2) Stephen Belsheim. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 February 2006 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22 and 36

Prior art documents discussed:

2002356734 cited in the specification [0006].

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The claims are amended to clearly point out the present of at least one of solid solution carbide powder and solid solution carbonitride powder, wherein the carbide or carbonitride powder is of a combination of Zr and Nb.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Ngoclan Mai

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)